Comparison of Returns and Risk Using Markowitz and Sharpe's Model

¹Mr. Suresh A.S, ²Ms. Harshitha N

¹Assistant Professor, MBA Department, PES Institute of Technology, Bangalore South Campus,1km Before Electronic city, Hosur Road, Bangalore – 560100

²Management student, IV Semester MBA, PES Institute of Technology, Bangalore South Campus, 1km Before Electronic city, Hosur Road, Bangalore – 560100

Abstract: Many researchers have worked on traditional Markowitz model and Sharpe's Single Index model individually to analyze the returns, but very less attempts have been made to examine the efficiency of returns obtained by comparing these two models individually. The current study is to identify the level of deviation in returns by comparing these two models and to check if the results obtained are constant or not. The measurement of beta will help the investor to quantify the systematic risk and unsystematic risk associated with the particular investment.

This study is conducted on the stocks which are listed is S&P BSE SENSEX (Automobile, banking and pharmaceuticals sectors) are taken. The study is undertaken for a period of 6 years starting from 1st January 2011 till 31st December 2016 where yearly closing balance are taken for the purpose of computation of risk and return.

Keywords: Beta, Markowitz, returns, systematic risk, unsystematic risk Sharpe's Single Index.

1. INTRODUCTION

Stock exchanges is a marketplace which is organized, either by the association or alternative organization, which involves in trading of securities in the form of purchasing and selling of shares. The stock markets are exposed to huge internal risk and external risk. NSE and BSE are the leading stock exchanges in India there are other stock exchanges apart from this which are registered. In India SEBI is the regulatory body which monitors the stock exchanges. During last decades Indian stock market has undergone huge changes. Stock market is interesting as it involves challenging, dynamic environment, and there is an existence of uncertainty. Directions of securities cannot be predicted as they are much volatile.

Objectives of the study:

1. To compare the returns using Markowitz model and Sharpe's Single Index Model.

2. To rank the stocks based on the returns of Markowitz Model and Sharpe's Single Index Model.

3. Identify the deviation in return between Markowitz Model and Sharpe's Single Index Model.

4. To compute the portfolio risk and return and identify the deviation as per Markowitz Model and Sharpe's Single Index Model.

Duration of the study:

To analyse the return and risk of companies, 6 years of data is taken. (i.e., 1st January 2011 to 31st December 2016).

Vol. 5, Issue 1, pp: (806-813), Month: April - September 2017, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

1. Raghavan (2000):

The author commented on the risk perception and parameters. The author says that the measuring of risk goes along with the return measurement. He states that the risk can only be controlled or minimized but cannot be eliminated thoroughly. There are certain risks which have to be taken in order to get adequate returns. The authors states that the returns can be maximized by considering greater financial and operating risks, but the external factors like environmental risks may not increase the returns instead they act as a barrier for returns and risk decisions. The author concludes that the investors who wish to retain certain level of risks within the desired level must be able to practice in the daily operations.

2. Shanmugasundram and Benedict (2013):

Deliberate risk influenced in the Indian Sectoral indices and Nifty. They found risk association in varied with time period. They had designated five Sectoral indices from NSE and Nifty Index for 8 years from 2004 to 2012. For the study t-Test and ANOVA was carried out to find out the risk alteration amid the sectors and Nifty.

3. Markowitz (1952):

In his theory he developed the basic portfolio theory, describing a linear relationship between risk and return, and proved to be useful for portfolio and asset management.

4. Sangeetha and Dheeraj (2007):

In their study they considered the risk return relation using market and accounting based information and found that risk calculated on the accounting information source was not expressively captured by the market but financial risk had significant influence.

5. Markowitz (1952, 1959):

Described the Modern Portfolio Theory for the first time. The portfolio botheration was formulated as a best of mean, accepted returns and variance, apery risk associated of a portfolio of assets. The theorems on captivation connected variance, maximizing the accepted return and captivation connected return, aspersing about-face led to the accumulation of an able frontier, which is acclimated by the investor, based on the risk preference, to accomplish the best of adapted portfolio. The Markowitz mean variance conception paved way for assay of new ambit in Portfolio research. Affirmed the above acceptance of the Markowitz's access to portfolio assay is that investors are basically risk-averse. This agency that investors have to be accustomed college allotment in adjustment to acquire college risk. Markowitz again developed an archetypal of portfolio analysis. The three highlights of this archetypal are normally; the two accordant characteristics of a portfolio are its accepted return and some admeasurement of the burning of accessible allotment about the accepted return; rational investors will be called to authority able portfolios, those that aerate accepted allotment for a accustomed akin of risk or, alternatively, abbreviate risk for a accustomed akin of return.

6. Tobin (1958):

The author states that it is suitable to study the agreement of an optimal portfolio of chancy stock, that usually estimates of upcoming the allotment and accepted covariance cast of accepted returns.

7. Sharpe (1963):

The author attempted to abridge the action of abstracts input, abstracts tabulation, and extensive a solution. The author as well developed a simplified substitute of the Markowitz archetypal that reduces abstracts and computational requirements. Although Markowitz archetypal was deceptively affected its austere limitation was the adult and aggregate of plan was able-bodied above the Markowitz model.

8. Michaud (1989):

Said that Markowitz enrichment is not adapted in practice, admitting its abstract success due to the conceptually ambitious attributes of the theory; the actuality that a lot of investment companies are not structured to use a mean-variance enhancement approach.

International Journal of Management and Commerce Innovations ISSN 2348-7585 (Online)

Vol. 5, Issue 1, pp: (806-813), Month: April - September 2017, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

9. Affleck-Graves and Money (1976):

The cardboard assured that the Markowitz access produces after-effects which are decidedly above to those acquired application an basis model. Thus, in practice, the broker adulatory to use a risk-return access to portfolio alternative should strive to administer the basal Markowitz formulation. If this is impossible, a basis archetypal may be used, but it is fatigued that the after-effects acquired may be ever conservative. However, if the absolute bulk to be invested is actual large, appropriately banishment a low high apprenticed to be imposed on the bulk invested in any security, again the basis models may be acclimated with abundant added confidence.

10. Omet (1995):

The author suggested that the two models are similar. SIM standard can be used, which is added applied than the Markowitz standard in upbringing ASE able frontier.

11. Terol(2006):

The author stated that the Markowitz standards are the accepted standard which proposes to break in the alternative problem of the portfolio by bold that the bearing of security market in the approach which can be identified by the accomplished asset data. However it is not easy to ensure the accuracy because of the various barriers for the portfolio selection. As for the SIM model, it contains down-covered betas gathered by not only from statistical abstracts but as well from able knowledge.

12. Niranjan (2013):

The author makes an attempt to have a deeper insight in the idea in order to insert in Sharpe's single index model and by using this model an optimal portfolio is constructed, by taking BSE SENSEX as the benchmark index and by taking in the daily indices along with the daily prices of the securities that are taken in this study from the period of April 2001 till March 2011. The author has said that construction of optimal portfolio investment is much easier and comfortable by using Sharpe's Single Index model then by using Markowitz's model. According to the author influential contribution Sharpe argues that there is a similarity in efficient portfolio construction between SIM and Markowitz's Model, this model determines the extent of risky a security is exposed to, if such securities are held in as a well – diversified. The author has made this study for a small sample of 21 selected securities it can also be made for a large sample in order to fetch more accurate result.

| NAME OF THE COMPANY | RETURNS * (%) | RISK | ВЕТА | ALPHA |
|---------------------|---------------|--------|-------|---------|
| Maruti Suzuki | 30.228 | 38.877 | 1.926 | 18.899 |
| Bajaj Auto | 12.77 | 17.984 | 0.585 | 9.329 |
| HDFC Bank | 6.936 | 44.90 | 2.204 | - 6.024 |
| Sun Pharma | 27.525 | 40.344 | 0.885 | 22.320 |
| Lupin Pharma | 24.025 | 28.208 | 1.094 | 17.591 |
| | | | | |

Table 1: Showing Return, Risk, Beta and Alpha of selected stocks:

*(6 years average return has been taken for the study)

Table 2: Computation of portfolio returns as per Markowitz model

| Name of the company | Weight | Returns | Portfolio return |
|---------------------|--------|------------------|------------------|
| Maruti Suzuki | 0.2 | 30.228 | 6.0456 |
| Bajaj Auto | 0.2 | 12.77 | 2.554 |
| HDFC Bank | 0.2 | 6.936 | 1.3872 |
| Sun Pharma | 0.2 | 27.525 | 5.505 |
| Lupin | 0.2 | 24.025 | 4.805 |
| | | Portfolio Return | 20.2968 % |

Note: Here equal weight i.e., 0.2 is considered for calculation of portfolio return.

| Covariance between | Value |
|------------------------------|----------|
| Maruti Suzuki and Bajaj | 408.6716 |
| Maruti Suzuki and HDFC Bank | 1600.154 |
| Maruti Suzuki and Sun Pharma | 866.7405 |
| Maruti Suzuki and Lupin | 798.6768 |
| Bajaj Auto and HDFC | 455.7223 |
| Bajaj Auto and Sun Pharma | 298.8304 |
| Bajaj Auto and Lupin | 143.986 |
| HDFC Bank and Sun Pharma | 1175.93 |
| HDFC Bank and Lupin | 743.2379 |
| Sun Pharma and Lupin | 615.6325 |

| Table 3: | Computation | of Covariance as | per Markowitz model |
|----------|-------------|------------------|---------------------|
|----------|-------------|------------------|---------------------|

| Table 4: | Computation | of Corr | elation b | etween s | tocks: |
|----------|-------------|---------|-----------|----------|--------|
| | | | | | |

| Name of the companies | $Correlation = \frac{COV_{12}}{\sigma_1 * \sigma_2}$ | Correlation |
|------------------------------|--|-------------|
| Maruti Suzuki and Bajaj | 408.672/(38.876*17.984) | 0.585 |
| Maruti Suzuki and HDFC Bank | 1600.154/(38.876*44.90136) | 0.917 |
| Maruti Suzuki and Sun Pharma | 866.741/(38.876*40.244) | 0.553 |
| Maruti Suzuki and Lupin | 798.677/(38.876*28.2075) | 0.728 |
| Bajaj Auto and HDFC | 455.722/(17.984*44.90136) | 0.564 |
| Bajaj Auto and Sun Pharma | 298.830/(17.984*40.244) | 0.412 |
| Bajaj Auto and Lupin | 143.986/(17.984*28.2075) | 0.284 |
| HDFC Bank and Sun Pharma | 1175.930/(44.90136*40.344) | 0.649 |
| HDFC Bank and Lupin | 743.238/(44.90136*28.2075) | 0.587 |
| Sun Pharma and Lupin | 615.633/(40.344*28.2075) | 0.541 |

Computation of portfolio risk as per Markowitz model:

$$\begin{split} \sigma_p &= \sqrt{(w_1 * \sigma_1)^2 + (w_2 * \sigma_2)^2 + (w_3 * \sigma_3)^2 + (w_4 * \sigma_4)^2 + (w_5 * \sigma_5)^2 + (w_6 * \sigma_6)^2} \\ &\quad + (2 * w_1 * w_2 * \sigma_1 * \sigma_2 * cor_{12}) + (2 * w_1 * w_3 * \sigma_1 * \sigma_3 * cor_{13}) + (2 * w_1 * w_4 * \sigma_1 * \sigma_4 * cor_{14}) \\ &\quad + (2 * w_1 * w_5 * \sigma_1 * \sigma_5 * cor_{15}) + (2 * w_2 * w_3 * \sigma_2 * \sigma_3 * cor_{23}) + (2 * w_2 * w_4 * \sigma_2 * \sigma_4 * cor_{24}) \\ &\quad + (2 * w_2 * w_5 * \sigma_2 * \sigma_5 * cor_{25}) + (2 * w_3 * w_4 * \sigma_3 * \sigma_4 * cor_{34}) + (2 * w_3 * w_5 * \sigma_3 * \sigma_5 * cor_{35}) \\ &\quad + (2 * w_4 * w_5 * \sigma_4 * \sigma_5 * cor_{45}) \end{split}$$

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Portfolio risk} = \sqrt{(0.2^*38.87673)^2 + (0.2^*17.984)^2 + (0.2^*44.90136)^2 + (0.2^*40.34415)^2 + (0.2^*28.20758)^2 + \\ & (2^*0.2^*0.2^*38.87673^*17.984^*0.584519) + (2^*0.2^*0.2^*38.87673^*44.90136^*0.905923) + \\ & (2^*0.2^*0.2^*38.87673^*40.34415^*0.55261) + (2^*0.2^*0.2^*38.87673^*28.20758^*0.728309) + \\ & (2^*0.2^*0.2^*17.984^*44.90136^*0.557742) + (2^*0.2^*0.2^*17.984^*40.34415^*0.411868) + \\ & (2^*0.2^*0.2^*17.984^*28.20758^*0.283836) + (2^*0.2^*0.2^*44.90136^*40.34415^*0.641535) + \\ & (2^*0.2^*0.2^*44.90136^*28.20758^*0.579937) + (2^*0.2^*0.2^*40.34415^*28.20758^*0.540972) \end{aligned}$

Portfolio risk = $\sqrt{819.577}$

Portfolio risk (σ_p) = 28.63

Markowitz model findings

| Portfolio Return | 20.2968 |
|------------------|---------|
| Portfolio Risk | 28.63 |

SHARPE SINGLE INDEX MODEL:

Table 5: Computation of individual stock return as per Sharpe single index model:

Return = α + ($\beta * R_m$) + e_i

| Name of the company | α | β | R _m | RETURN |
|---------------------|--------|-------|----------------|--------|
| Maruti Suzuki | 18.899 | 1.927 | 5.880 | 30.227 |
| Bajaj Auto | 9.329 | 0.586 | 5.880 | 12.773 |
| HDFC Bank | -6.024 | 2.204 | 5.880 | 6.936 |
| Sun Pharma | 22.320 | 0.885 | 5.880 | 27.525 |
| Lupin Pharma | 17.591 | 1.094 | 5.880 | 24.025 |

 Table 6: Computation of Systematic Risk as per Sharpe single index model:

Systematic risk = $(\beta * S. D_m)^2$

| Name of the company | β | S.D | β*S.D | Systematic Risk |
|---------------------|-------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Maruti Suzuki | 1.927 | 18.452 | 35.550 | 1263.774 |
| Bajaj Auto | 0.586 | 18.452 | 10.809 | 116.830 |
| HDFC Bank | 2.204 | 18.452 | 40.668 | 1653.901 |
| Sun Pharma | 0.885 | 18.452 | 16.335 | 266.819 |
| Lupin Pharma | 1.094 | 18.452 | 20.188 | 407.573 |

Table 7: Computation of unsystematic risk as per Sharpe single index model:

Unsystematic Risk = Total Variance – Systematic Risk

| Name of the company | Total Variance of stock | Systematic risk | Unsystematic Risk |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Maruti Suzuki | 1511.400 | 1263.774 | 247.626 |
| Bajaj Auto | 323.424 | 116.830 | 206.594 |
| HDFC Bank | 2016.01 | 1653.901 | 362.109 |
| Sun Pharma | 1627.650 | 266.819 | 1360.831 |
| Lupin Pharma | 795.668 | 407.573 | 388.095 |

Table 8: Computation of Total risk as per Sharpe single index model:

| | | | Systematic + | Total |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|
| Name of the company | Systematic risk | Unsystematic risk | Unsystematic | risk |
| Maruti Suzuki | 1263.774 | 247.626 | 1511.400 | 38.877 |
| Bajaj Auto | 116.830 | 206.594 | 323.424 | 17.984 |
| HDFC Bank | 1653.901 | 362.109 | 2016.01 | 44.90 |
| Sun Pharma | 266.819 | 1360.831 | 1627.650 | 40.344 |
| Lupin Pharma | 407.573 | 388.095 | 795.668 | 28.208 |

 Table 9: Computation of Portfolio returns as per Sharpe single index model:

| Name of the company | Weight | Returns | Portfolio return |
|---------------------|--------|-----------|------------------|
| Maruti Suzuki | 0.2 | 30.227 | 6.045 |
| Bajaj Auto | 0.2 | 12.773 | 2.555 |
| HDFC Bank | 0.2 | 6.936 | 1.387 |
| Sun Pharma | 0.2 | 27.525 | 5.505 |
| Lupin Pharma | 0.2 | 24.025 | 4.805 |
| | | Portfolio | |
| | | Return | 20.297 |

International Journal of Management and Commerce Innovations ISSN 2348-7585 (Online) Vol. 5, Issue 1, pp: (806-813), Month: April - September 2017, Available at: <u>www.researchpublish.com</u>

| Name of the company | Weight | Individual beta | Portfolio Beta |
|---------------------|--------|-----------------|----------------|
| Maruti Suzuki | 0.2 | 1.926 | 0.3852 |
| Bajaj Auto | 0.2 | 0.586 | 0.1172 |
| HDFC Bank | 0.2 | 2.204 | 0.4408 |
| Sun | 0.2 | 0.885 | 0.177 |
| Lupin | 0.2 | 1.094 | 0.2188 |
| | | Portfolio Beta | 1.339 |

Table 10: Computation of portfolio beta:

Computation of systematic risk:

Systematic risk= $(market variance*beta)^2$

Systematic risk= $(18.45199*1.339147)^2$

Systematic risk= $(24.70992705)^2$

Systematic risk= 610.5805

| Name of the company | Weight | Individual risk | Weight * risk | Unsystematic risk |
|---------------------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| rume of the company | () eight | Individual Hon | vielghe Hish | e naj stematie 115h |
| Maruti Suzuki | 0.2 | 38.877 | 7.7754 | 60.456 |
| Bajaj Auto | 0.2 | 17.984 | 3.5968 | 12.936 |
| HDFC Bank | 0.2 | 44.90 | 8.98 | 80.640 |
| Sun | 0.2 | 40.344 | 8.0688 | 65.105 |
| Lupin | 0.2 | 28.208 | 5.6416 | 31.827 |
| | | | Unsystematic risk | 250.964 |

Table 11: Computation of unsystematic risk:

Computation of total risk:

Total risk=Systematic risk + Unsystematic risk

Total risk= $\sqrt{610.5805+250.964}$

Total risk= $\sqrt{861.5445}$

Total risk = 29.35

Table 12: Comparison of returns between Markowitz model and Sharpe single index model.

| Name of the company | Markowitz model | Ranking | Sharpe single index model | Ranking |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------|---------------------------|---------|
| Maruti Suzuki | 30.228 | 1 | 30.227 | 1 |
| Bajaj auto | 12.77 | 4 | 12.773 | 4 |
| Hdfc bank | 6.936 | 5 | 6.936 | 5 |
| Sun | 27.525 | 2 | 27.525 | 2 |
| Lupin | 24.025 | 3 | 24.025 | 3 |

INTERPRETATION:

From the above table it is clear that there is no deviation in individual return according to both Markowitz model and Sharpe single index model. Both the model represent same no value in returns.

International Journal of Management and Commerce Innovations ISSN 2348-7585 (Online) Vol. 5, Issue 1, pp: (806-813), Month: April - September 2017, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

| Name of the company | Markowitz model | Ranking | Sharpe single index model | Ranking |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------|---------------------------|---------|
| Maruti Suzuki | 38.877 | 3 | 38.877 | 3 |
| Bajaj auto | 17.984 | 5 | 17.984 | 5 |
| Hdfc bank | 44.901 | 1 | 44.901 | 1 |
| Sun | 40.344 | 2 | 40.344 | 2 |
| Lupin | 28.208 | 4 | 28.208 | 4 |

Table 13: Comparison of risk between Markowitz model and Sharpe single index model.

Table 14: Comparison of portfolio return and risk between Markowitz model and Sharpe single index model.

| | Markowitz model | Sharpe Single Index model |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Portfolio return | 20.2968 | 20.297 |
| Portfolio risk | 28.6618 | 29.35 |

INTERPRETATION:

Table shows that the portfolio return is same in both Markowitz model and Sharpe Single Index Model and there is slight deviation in value of portfolio risk.

Overall it can be concluded that Markowitz model and Sharpe Single Index Model proved same value in terms of individual return and risk. More over there is no deviation in portfolio return and portfolio risk as per both the model. This proves that researcher can use either Markowitz model or Sharpe Single Index Model to analyze the risk and return of the stock.

3. CONCLUSION

The research proves that there is no deviation in the value of individual return and risk as per Markowitz model and Sharpe Single Index model. Even the portfolio return and risk are same. But however, Markowitz model seems to be easy for calculating individual return and portfolio return. But Sharpe Single Index model simplifies the process of computation of portfolio risk. This is because in Markowitz model, computation of covariance is time consuming. When there are more number of stocks it become difficult to compute the covariance between all the companies

As several models are available to evaluate the return and risk of securities and portfolio, an attempt was made to compare two models i.e., Markowitz model and Sharpe Single Index model to check whether these model give same return and risk when checked separately. This research is applied for selected stocks and the research proves that both the models give almost the same value for both individual return and risk and also portfolio return and risk.

It can be concluded that Sharpe model is best suited to calculate portfolio risk as Markowitz model requires the computation of covariance's between stocks to identify the risk of portfolio and more the number of stocks more the pain to identify the covariance. This drawback has led to use of Sharpe Single Index model to compute the portfolio risk.

Apart from Sharpe Single Index model and Markowitz model there are many techniques like CAPM, Efficient portfolio set, efficient frontier, SML and CML Model which can be included in the further study to compare the returns with all available models.

REFERENCES

- [1] Raghavan.R.S. (2000). "Risk Management in Banks": The Hindu, Daily, Volume. 123, No. 272, Business p.4.
- [2] G Shanmugasundram and D. J. Benedict. (2013)." Volatility of the Indian sectoral indices-A study with reference to national stock exchange". *International Journal of Marketing, Financial Services & Management Research, vol. 2, no.* 8, pp. 1-11.
- [3] Markowitz Harry. (1952). "Portfolio Selection". Journal of Finance, 7, pp 77–91.
- [4] Sangeetha Mishra and Dheeraj Mishra. (2007). "Analysis of Risk and Returns: A Study of Indian Industrial Sectors". International Journal of Indian Culture and Business Management, Vol.1.

International Journal of Management and Commerce Innovations ISSN 2348-7585 (Online)

Vol. 5, Issue 1, pp: (806-813), Month: April - September 2017, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

- [5] Markowitz, H. M. (1959). "The History of Portfolio Theory : Portfolio Theory : 1600 1960". *Financial Analysts Journal*, 55(4), pp 5–16.
- [6] J. Tobin. (1958). "Liquidity Preference as Behavior Towards Risk". *Review of Economic Studies*, 25(1), pp 65–86.
- [7] W. F. Sharpe. (1963). "A Simplified Model for Portfolio Analysis". *Management Science*, 9(2), pp 277-293.
- [8] RO. Michaud. (1989). "The Markowitz optimization enigma: Is 'optimized' optimal?" *Financial Analysts Journal*, 45(1), pp 31-42.
- [9] J. F Affleck Graves and A. H Money. (1976)."A comparison of two portfolio selection models". *The Investment Analysts Journal*, 7(4), pp 35-40.
- [10] G. Omet. (1995). "On the Performance of Alternative Portfolio Selection Models". *Dirasat (The Humanities)*, 22(3), pp 125-135.
- [11] A.B. Terol, B. P. Gladish and J. A Ibias. (2006). "Selecting the optimum portfolio using fuzzy compromise programming and Sharpe"s single-index model". *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 182, pp 644–664E.
- [12] Niranjan Mandal. (2013). "SHARPE'S SINGLE INDEX MODEL AND ITS APPLICATION TO CONSTRUCT OPTIMAL PORTFOLIO: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY". Chennai: An initiative of Yale-Great Lakes Center for Management Research, Great Lakes Institute of Management, Volume 7, Issue 1, ISSN: 0973 - 9017, pp 1 - 22